

Previous references.

Constable 168 of 1904-05
No. 1905

File No. 111
of 1904-05

1904-05

(III - Courts)

Later references.

33-05 No. 1-3. B. 1905
do. 4-11. B. 1906.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 - 5

PART B. FOR March 1908

SUBJECT.

Cases of irregular arrests made in
the British territories by the Mysore
Police.

FILE No. 171 of 1904-05.

Cases of irregular arrests made in British Territories by the Mysore Police.

1 From the First Assistant to the Hon'ble the Resident No. 41, dated the 8th January 1905.

Forwards for information and necessary action copy of a letter from the District Magistrate of Anantapur forwarding copies of correspondence in the matter of a warrant issued by the 1st Class Magistrate of the Tumkur Taluk, to Constables Nos. 94 & 39 of the Koratagere Sub-Taluk for the arrest in the Dharmavaram Taluk of two Mysore offenders, and suggesting the desirability of the issue by the Durbar of such orders as will ensure strict attention to the

Extradition Act, XV of 1903, and adding that the charge against the constables in question for allowing the accused persons to escape from custody has been ordered to be dropped and that the Inspector General of Police in Mysore may be asked to forward communications addressed to the Subordinate Magistrates in the Anantapur District through the District Magistrate.

To the District Magistrate of Tumkur and the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, No. J. 1074-5—C. 171-2, dated the 28th January 1905.

Requests them to submit to Government explanations, the former as to why the 1st Class Magistrate of Tumkur issued warrants contrary to the instructions conveyed in Government Order No. 2189-97—J., dated the 15th April 1904, and the latter as to why he carried on official correspondence with the Magistrate of Dharmavaram direct instead of through the District Magistrate of that District.

3 From the District Magistrate of Tumkur, No. 583, dated the 13th February 1905.

Forwards the explanation of the Assistant Commissioner-Magistrate in the matter.

4 From the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, No. 5063—532, dated the 9th March 1905.

Sets forth the circumstances under which direct correspondence was carried on by him with the Sheristadar-Magistrate of Dharmavaram instead of through the District Magistrate of Anantapur and apologises for the breach of official etiquette.

5 To the First Assistant to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, No. Pol. 827, dated the 31st March 1905.

Forwards copy of a letter from the First General Assistant Commissioner and 1st Class Magistrate of Tumkur explaining the circumstances connected with the arrest of two Korachars at Poona, and states that the Inspector General of Police admits his mistake in the matter of direct correspondence carried on by him with the Magistrate of Dharmavaram and apologises for this breach of official etiquette.

No. 2450—J., dated 18th May 1904, to 1st Asst. Resident
Progs. No. 2189-97—J., dated 15th April 1904.

1904.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT..

(Cont)

NOTES.

File No. 171 -

FILE HEADING.

cases of illegal arrests made in British
territories by the Mysore Police.

From

The first step to the trail in the desert.

No.

41

Dated

571/05

Received

5/1/05

Diary No.

91382

Enclos.

Spare Copies. —

Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forward ~~copy~~ for information & necessary action Cps of a letter from the Dist Magt of Amritsar forwarding copies of correspondence in the matter of a warrant issued by the 1st Class Magistrate of Jammu to Constable No. 54 & 39 of the Kotage Sub-Division for the arrest of the Dharma Ram Jodha of P. H. J. offd. 202 & suggesting the desirability of issuing orders to ensure strict adherence to provisions of the Indian Registration Act. and advising that the Dist Magt of Amritsar may be asked to forward communications addressed to Sub-Divisional Magistrates in Amritsar thro' the District Magistrate.

NOTES AND ORDERS

The illegality of the procedure ~~has~~^{has} been already pointed out to the Inspector General of Police & the District Magistrate in Govt Profs No 2185-57 of 15/4/04. and

Sus
31/1/05

Serial
No.

and they were requested to issue needful instructions to Subordinate Police Officers & Magistrates.

2 Perhaps the Cps of the District Magistrate's letter may be forwarded to the Inspector General of Police ~~with the same~~ ^{letter} and they be requested to draw the attention of the Magistrate concerned to the fact. Prof. above advised to this the District Magistrate of Junker, and warn the Magistrate against the recurrence of such action in future.

3 The enclosures to the present reform have to be returned to the residences. Submitted with 2 drafts for approval or for other order.

9/1/05. 4 Attention of the Inspector General of Police may also be drawn to para

2 of the letter No. 241 of 20 December 1904 of the District Magistrate of Anantapur, though the endorsement of the Fud. Aut. Resident does not expressly say that the suggestion contained in that para should be acted on.

9/1/05 Subd. Secy - Mem 9/1/05
his

Subd. Secy. 9. 1. '05

5 Submitted to the Dewan Sahib thro' the 1st Councillor. May we do so?

11/1/05
11-1-05
let the Dewan Sahib
patron

Serial
No.

6 Why in spite of standing order to the contrary
Magistrate issue a warrant
for execution in British
India? Why again did the
Sd/- of Police address the
Sub-Magistrate of Dharmu-
varan District? On these
points, it is possible that
some explanation might be
forthcoming. Let us call for
it before dealing finally
with this reference. The requi-
-sition may be sent marked
urgent - C

Branch III 15/1/05 -

7 Call for it

12.1.1905
8 Dmpt Subd to Secy.

18/1/05 18.1.05 Des 18.1.05

8 Submitted to the Dewan
Sahab with a draft

4178
19.1.05

18.1.1905
9 I agree with the
above explanation

10 20.1.05
I give the draft paper
1.1.1905

Serial
No.

2

To the District Magistrate of Dumbur
in answer to the order of the S. 1074-5 of
C. 171-2 of 1905.

Request to them to submit at an
early date explanations, in form A &
why the 1st class Magistrate at Dumbur
issued warrants contrary to the instructions
contained in Govt order No. 285-57 of 1904
& the letter^{and} why he carried on official
correspondence with the Magistrate of
Sharnavaram District instead of through
the District Magistrate of that District.

3

From the District Magistrate of Dumbur
No. 583 dated the 12th February 1905.

Towards the explanation of the
District Magistrate of Dumbur as above.

4

From the Inspector General of Police
in Mysore No. 5283/532 of the 5th March 1905

States the circumstances under
which official correspondence was carried
on by him direct with the Magistrate of
Sharnavaram instead of through the District
Magistrate of Anantapur & apologises for
this breach of official etiquette.

"The statement that the Magistrate
issued warrants for the arrest of culprits
who had
escaped with B. Derricks does not appear

Serial
No.

the time. The warrants were issued ^{and} to enable the police of Dunder Disne proclamation for the apprehension of the accused. The ^{myself} Police ~~was~~ also don't seem to have taken any action on the authority of the ^{said} warrants. The arrest of the prisoners in B. Derrington appears to have been made by the B. Constables alone. Under these circumstances no blame appears to rest ^{in the matter} with the Stamford Magistrate in question. Perhaps a copy of his letter may now be forwarded in reply to the evidence, ~~consideration~~ along with the explanation of the Dep General of Police, who admits his mistake in the matter and apologises for the breach of official etiquette brought to notice.

Subj for adess.

MS 16/8/05 - CR 16/8/05 Recd 10/8/05

12 pp, Drap accd

21308

13 Drap - put up

u.

23/3/05

Subd to the Dep Gen Secy made 24/3/05

MS 24/3/05

27-208

Drp - too long

Serial
No.

15 Revised copy - put up for appl

Secy

20/3/05

16 Paper

29-3-1905

In the 1st of the incident in the 1st of 13/10/05

I forward copy of a letter from the 1st
General of the 1st class magistrates
of Dunder explaining the circumstances
connected with the arrest of 2 Komelhas
at Poon & states that the Magistrate
Aden admits his mistake in the matter
of the 1st class magistrates carried on by
him with the magistrates at Dharmadhar
but apologises for the breach of official
etiquette.

Counts Jidee H of p 005
81 no 1 *21382*

Letter No. M.C.941, dated the 20th December 1904, from the District Magistrate of Anantapur, to the First Assistant Resident, Mysore.

counts
2 p.m.
6.1.05

I have the honour to invite your attention to the correspondence ending with your endorsement No. 2615, dated 28th May '04 on letter to you No. 2450/J, dated the 18th May 1904 from the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments, and to letter No. 3071 from the Inspector General of Police, Mysore, dated 3rd December 1904, to the Sheristadar Magistrate, Dharmavaram, with its enclosures which are sent herewith. You will observe from the paper enclosed ~~xx~~ that the practice of sending Mysore State constables to this District with arrest warrants, not issued by the Honourable the British Resident, in order to arrest persons charged with the commission of offences within the Mysore State, still continues and I would suggest that a representation may be again made to the Mysore Durbar to issue such orders as will ensure strict attention to the provisions of Act XV of 1903 when the arrest in this District of any offender escaping from Mysore to this District is desired.

2. I would also request that the inspector General of Police in Mysore may be asked to forward communications addressed by him to subordinate Magistrates through me.

3. I may state that in the case referred to in the Inspector General's letter, I have already ordered that the criminal charge against the Mysore Constables should be dropped as it is clearly not sustainable.

-----oOo-----

Pol no 101057 6.1.1905
No. 41. Encl. 5.

The Mysore Resident's Office,
Bangalore, 5th January 1905.

X
to be
kindly
returned.

Copy of the foregoing letter, with its enclosures, is forwarded for the information of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore and favour of necessary action with reference to the correspondence ending with Mr. Ananthaswami Rao's letter No. 2450/J, dated the 18th May 1904.

2X
111
recd
5/1/05
5844

[Signature]
First Assistant Resident.

Count file 11 2
81102

Order with M: /

No: 9 1074-5 8/28/1/05.
C: 171-2

It has been brought to the notice of Government that in a recent case of kidnapping committed in Rampur in the Kotag Lib Dalk, the ~~1st~~ ~~General~~ ~~Commissioner~~ 1st class Magistrate of Dinkur, ~~had~~ issued warrants to 2 Police Constables of the Kotag Lib Dalk for the arrest of the culprits alleged to have escaped into the Dharmavaram Dalk of the Anantapur District. Complying to the instructions conveyed in G.O. No. 2185-57 of the 15th April 1902. In the connection, the Inspector General of Police is stated to have carried on ^{official} ~~his~~ correspondence with the Magistrate of Dharmavaram District instead of through the District Magistrate of ~~the~~ ^{that} Anantapur District. Before taking any further action in the matter, the Government desire to have the explanation of the officers concerned, which should be submitted also

Serial 30-1-05

3.

Very early date as to why
they ^{had recourse} ~~recourse~~ to the procedure
above adverted to. Copies
of letter N. C. 941 dated the
25th December 1904 from the
District Magistrate of Aunabpur
to the District Officer of
~~the above~~ ~~the subject~~
are forwarded for records
reference.

Yrs
18.1.05 ~~18.1.05~~
Secretary

To
The District Magistrate of Aunabpur
The Inspector General of Police in charge

ms
18/1/05
Kk
18.1.05

Copied by 21/1/05.
Examined. SN
27/1/05

SN. 3
22/11/17/1905

111 Crows
187/05 1904-05
21737⁴
From The District Magistrate
of Tumkur.

To The Secretary to the Govt.
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Deptts

Dated 13th
Despatched 17th } February 1905.

Received.

General No. 583
No.

Enclosure No.

Forwarding copy of letter
No C 125-27 7th February 1905-
from the 1st Assistant Commis-
sioner and 1st class Magistrate
explaining ^{certain} ~~the~~ warrants
issued by him.

Enc C-171

File no 171 Deputy Commr's office
No 588 H No 3 Tumkur District
Dated, Tumkur 13th Feb/05

J 1737⁴

From

K. Doraswami Iyer Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

To.

B. K. VenkataVardienagar Esq
Secretary to the Govt. of His
Highness The Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

As called for in Govt. Pro: no: J2074-
5/P.C 171-2 dated 27th January last,
I have the honor to forward herewith copy
of letter no: C. 125 dated 7th instant from
Mr. C. Seshadriengar 1st General assistant
Commissioner and 1st class Magistrate of
this District explaining the Warrants that
were issued by him. It will be seen
that our Constables did not arrest the
persons on the strength of these War-
rants, but had the arrests made by the
British Police, who placed the arrested
men before a stationary magistrate
of Dharmavaram and that that Mag-
istrate ordered their detention there.

there pending the receipt of an Extra-
dition warrant, which was applied
for and issued. Vide correspondence
ending with your docket no. 91964/
C. 168-4 dated 21st Ultimo

21

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant

B. B. Minislan
for District Magistrate.

bf.

Camp No
125

6
First Assistant Commr.
and 1st Class Magistrates
court.
Madhav Division
Jumkur District
dated 7th February 1905

From

C. Seshadriengar Esq
1st Assistant Commissioner
and 1st class Magistrate
Jumkur District

To.

K. Doraswami Esq Esq
District Magistrate
Jumkur District

Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt of
your Gadi No: 525 dated 30th January
1905, I have the honor to state that in
June last the Police Jamadar of the
Kortagre Sub Taluk forwarded a charge
sheet to this Court and applied for
warrants for the arrest of the accused
persons: 1 Muthyaliga and 2 Rama
who have absconded after committing
an offence of kidnapping punishable
under section 363 of the I. P. C. at Ram-
pur. I returned the charge sheet with
an

an order that as this is a cognizable offence
the Police may arrest the accused persons
without warrants. The Superintendent
of Police Munkur District represented
that the issue of warrants was necessary
as proclamations will have to be issued
for the appearance of the accused - I
accordingly issued warrants for the
arrest of the 2 individuals above named
and directed the warrants to the Jam-
adar of the Kortagere Sub Taluk.

He in his turn endorsed them to the
Daffedar of the Holavanahalli Station.
The Daffedar of the latter station de-
puted 2 Constables for the arrest of the
accused persons. The Constables went
in search of them and found them at
Perur in the Dharmavaram Taluk.
They did not themselves arrest the
accused persons in accordance with
the warrants. They showed the warrants
to the Head Constable of the Perur
station. The Head Constable deputed
Constable No. 447 of his station to arrest
the accused persons. They were accordingly
arrested and produced before him.

He sent them to Dharmavaram for
their being produced before the Taluk
Magistrate for remands being obtained
for their detention pending the receipt
of

8

of Extradition warrants, and the accused persons escaped while on their way to Sharmavaram. These facts are clear from the Endorsements made by the Head Constable of the Perur station, on the warrants. They were re-arrested and produced before the Taluk Magistrate of Sharmavaram and they were tried and sentenced to suffer 3 months' rigorous imprisonment. An application was submitted for their surrender under the Extradition Act and intimation has been received that extradition warrants have been issued vide docket no: J 1964/C. 168-4 dated 21st January 1965 from the Secretary to the Government of Mysore General and Revenue departments to your address.

The accused persons are nomadic Korachars. They have no fixed place of abode. At the time the warrants were applied for and issued it was not known where they will be found. The warrants did not authorize the Police of the Kottagere Sub Taluk to arrest the accused in the Sharmavaram Taluk. The Constables who were deputed to arrest them did not do so of their own accord on the

!the strength of the warrants. They showed the warrants to the Head Constable of the Perur station who got them arrested. This is what our Police could have done irrespective of the existence of the warrants

From the above it will be seen that I have not issued any warrants to the 2 Constables of the Kortagere Sub Taluk for the arrest of the culprits who have absconded into the Sharma-Varam Taluk, that the warrants issued to the jamadar did not authorize any such arrest and that the Constables who were deputed by the Daffedar of the Holavanhalli station to arrest them, found them at Perur, gave information to the Head Constable of that station and got them arrested through him.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedient servant

(Ld). G. Seeshadriengar

1st Asst. Commr. & 1st class magr.

copy

B. K. Srinivasan
for District Magistrate
R.S.

21909

Police in Mysore.

Gen. and Rev. Dept.

No. 532

Furnishing explanation in the matter of issue of warrant to constables of the Korklegue sub-Div for the arrest of certain offenders who had absconded into the British Territory.

16

File no 171
sl. no 4

teowh
91909

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL
OF POLICE IN MYSORE,

No. 5063 *gms*
532

Dated Bangalore, 8th March 1905.

9.

Enc.

From

F. E. C. Carr Esquire,
THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

B. K. Venkatavaradaiengar Esquire,
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

Adverting to Government Proceedings No.

J 2074-5/C.171-2 dated 27th January 1905 I have the honor to forward herewith copies of the correspondence which -- has passed between this Office and the Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur in the matter under reference.

It will be seen that the First Assistant Commissioner of Tumkur issued a warrant for the arrest of certain Korachers in a kidnapping case. The Korachers appear to have absconded into the Dharmavaram Taluk of the Anantapur District, where they were subsequently discovered by two Mysore Policemen, who caused the absconding criminals to be arrested by the British Police. On the way to the Magistrate's Court to obtain a remand warrant the prisoners escaped from the custody of the British Police, who however blamed the Mysore constables for negligently allowing the escape.

Proceedings were then instituted by the Dharmavaram Sheristadar Magistrate against the Mysore constables under section 223 I.P.C.; although he must have been well

aware

11

aware that the Mysore Police could in no way, ^{be} held responsible for the safe custody of the accused persons, their arrest having been carried out by the British Police, merely at the instance of the Mysore Police.


On the summonses being received and duly served, I forwarded a copy of all correspondence in the case to the Sheristadar Magistrate for information direct, as I considered the matter urgent, and as it was reported that the case was pending in his Court. I allow that the matter would have been more properly brought to the notice of the District -- Magistrate, and I must apologise for this breach of official etiquette, which was purely unintentional.

Orders will be issued to the Police to insure stricter attention to the provisions of Act XV of 1903, and to Government Order No: 2189-97/ J dated 15th April 1904.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,



Inspector General of Police.

Law

No: 3071/C.M 422.

12
Office of the
Inspector General of Police in Mysore.
Dated, Bangalore, 3rd December 1904.

From,

F. E. C. Carr Esquire,
Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To,


The Sheristadar Magistrate,
Dharmavarar.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith for your information copies of letter No: 300 dated 29th November 1904 and its enclosures from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, regarding a case pending in your court against 2 constables of the Kortegere Sub Taluk, Tumkur District.

I have &c.,
(Signed.) F. E. Carr.
Inspector General of Police.

" C O P Y "


Inspector General of Police.


From,

K. Dorasami Iyer Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

To,

F. E. C. Carr Esquire,
Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

Dated 29th

Despatched. November 1904.

Received.

General No: 300
No:

Enclosure No: 1 file.

~~~~~

Sir,

I have the honor to state that in the Rampur kidnapping case of the Kortegere Sub Taluk the 1st General Assistant Commissioner and 1st class Magistrate of this District had issued warrants on the 15th July 1904 for the arrest of two Korchers Muthyaliga and Guruva who were suspected to have committed the offence and afterwards absconded to the -- Dharmavaran Taluk of the Anantapur District. Constables Nos: 94 Sanjeeva Raju and 39 Narasimhaiya of the -- Kortegere Sub Taluk were deputed to discover the offenders. On the 18th September 1904 the constables traced

the

Taluk crime No: 1/8

District Crime No: 133 /1903-04

the latter in Muddenahalli of the Dharmavaram Taluk and gave intimation to the station house officer of Peroor who got the accused arrested and made an endorsement behind the warrants to that effect. A constable of that station went and brought the accused that very day. The latter were confined that night in the station lockup. The next morning i.e., 19th September 1904 the accused were sent under escort of two constables of that station to obtain a remand from the Taluk Magistrate of Dharmavaram. The two constables of this District were also asked to go with them. On their way the two accused expressed a wish to answer calls of nature and when the handcuffs were taken out by one of the British constables they gave the slip and ran away. Our constables therefore returned.

Upon this the District Superintendent of Police Anantapur District wrote to the Superintendent of Police (in his letter No: 1520 / genl dated 29-9-04) that the two constables of this District had to be suspended as they were liable to be prosecuted under section 223 I.P.C. A reply was sent to the Superintendent stating that our constables had neither jurisdiction nor locus standi in British Territory and hence could not be liable for the offence. Copies of this correspondence are herewith sent for reference. No further communication on the subject was subsequently received from the District Superintendent of Police, Anantapur.

Meantime summonses were issued by the Sheristadar Magistrate of the Dharmavaram Taluk against the above mentioned two constables of this District to answer to a charge under section 223 I.P.C. As these Court Summonses could not <sup>be</sup> disobeyed they were duly served on the constables and the latter given leave to attend the court. The date of hearing had been fixed by the Magistrate to today's date and the summonses received on the 26th. A wire has this day been given by the Superintendent to the Sheristadar Magistrate asking for an adjournment with a view to arrange for the defence of the constables, the notice of hearing being too short. It is to be noted that our constables throw the whole blame of the escape of the accused on the British constables stating that though they objected to the removing of the handcuffs, the latter did so.

The constables are now placed in a very helpless state being called upon to answer a charge before a Magistrate in a foreign Territory

They

They are ignorant persons unable to represent the facts and circumstances of their case clearly to the Court. I request therefore that you will kindly arrange for these constables being properly defended in Court. The date to which the case will be adjourned by the Sheristadar Magistrate of Dharmavaram in response to the Superintendent's request will, on receipt of his reply be duly intimated to your office, .

I have &c.,

( Signed.) P. Srivivasa Rao

For Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.  
-----

" C O P Y "



Inspector General of Police.

Sub.

6  
To,

The District Superintendent  
of Police, Anantapur.

Dated. 17-10-04.

Despatched. 19

No: 172

Enclosure 1.

-----  
Sir,

With reference to your letter No: 1520 dated 29th ultimo, I have the honor to forward for your information copies of the statements of the two constables referred to from which it will be seen that they throw the whole blame of the escape of the prisoners on the constables of the Peroor station who formed the escort. Apart from this I do not think a charge under section 223 I.P.C. can be sustained against them, in as much as they, being members of the Police Force of a Foreign State, had no jurisdiction or locus standi in British India as Police constables. I have however in deference to your request conveyed in your letter under reply, suspended them.

I have &c.,

( Signed. ) C. Haudin.

District Superintendent of Police.

-----  
Copy

-----  
New case.

Peroor S. H. R. dated 19-9-1904

(1) Inspector No: 51  
Peroor station No: 10      Head Constable No: 60 Masood Sahib reports that constables Nos: 94 and 39 of Holavanahally station, Koratager Taluk, Tumkur District appeared at the station on 18-9-1904 5 P.M. and represented that they have got warrants for the arrest of (1) Korcha Muthyaligadu (2) Guruvadu of Peroor concerned in crime No: 1/03 of Holavanahally station and showed the warrant to him; that the one against the 1st was nonbailable, that against Guruvadu was bailable; that he gave No: 347 Razack Sahib of Peroor station for their help that the individuals were arrested and brought to station at 7 P.M. of 18-9-1904, that the latter could not furnish surety, that both were forwarded the next noon under the custody of the two Mysore Police constables and constable No: 243 Haji Sahib of Peroor station, to the Taluk Magistrate of Dharmavaram for obtaining remand; but that the 3 constables returned at 11 P.M. of 19-9-1904 and reported at the station as follows.

(2) 225 I.P.C.  
(3) Nil  
(4) In the fields of Mathapuram  
(5) 19-9-04, 2 P.M.  
(6) 19-9-04.  
(7) 22-9-04.

No: 243 reported that they took the accused duly handcuffed with handcuff No: 175 and with sword No: 76 and carbine No: 139 and 5 cartridges and before reaching Kanaganahally beyond Mathapuram and near Magi Basavanna's temple, the accused wanted to ease themselves and asked the handcuff to be removed, that he removed the handcuff, that they went and sat in different directions for easing, that he cautioned the two Mysore Constables to be on the alert and went to take his food at some distance in the 'vanka'; that it was about 2 P.M. when the two constables cried out that the accused ran on different directions towards the jungle, that he left his food and began to run after them; that they cannot see the accused at all though searched in the jungles till late in the evening.

Constable

20  
Constable No: 39 Narasimiah corroborated the above named constable and added that they were engaged in removing the thorns each got in his foot when the accused bolted when they cried out that No: 243 came to their help and that they all searched for the accused, but in vain. No: 94 Sanjeeva Rao corroborated the above. Head constable No: 60 has proceeded to find out the individuals.

I will also go to Peroor and report further.

x x x x x x x

( Signed ) Kuppasamy Iyer.

Inspector of Police

Dharmavaram.  
-----

( True Extract )

( Signed. ) R. M. Powell.

District Superintendent of Police.

Anantapur District.  
-----

" C O P Y "



Inspector General of Police.

Sent

File No. 17/

Serial No. 5

Diary No. of letter replied to

Tel No. 827

Encl.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )  
the 190 .

To

The J. A. Resident

Date of issue

31. 3. 05

Signed by

The Secretary

Sir, With reference to your Encl. no 41 of the 5th Jan 1905 forwarding copy of a letter from the D. R. of Anantapur in regard to the arrest of two Narachari at Perum in the Dharwad District, I am directed to forward herewith copy of letter no C. 125 of the 7th Dec 1905 from the 1st General Dist Comr & 1st class Magistrate of the District, which fully explains the circumstances connected with such arrest. It will be seen therefore that the arrest

over.

SUBJECT.

2. <sup>not</sup> were ~~not~~ made by the Mysore Court  
on the strength of the warrants  
issued by the Mysore Magistrate, but  
by the British Police themselves,  
~~who~~ placed <sup>them</sup> ~~the arrested men~~  
before ~~the District~~ <sup>the District</sup> Magistrate  
of Dharmavaram, & that  
the latter Magistrate ordered their  
detention there pending the  
receipt of an extradition  
warrant, which was subse-  
quently applied for by the  
Durbar & issued by the British  
the District, as intimated in  
your letter no 6 C of the 11th  
Jan. 1905.

from whose custody the prisoners  
escaped, but who subsequently  
recaptured them and

J.P. 87 no 3 of  
no 168 of 1905.

List of enclosures.

2. As regards the direct  
~~correspondence~~ correspondence carried  
on by the D. P. of Mysore with  
the Sheristadar Magistrate of  
Dharmavaram, I am to state  
that <sup>the D.P.</sup> ~~he~~ <sup>can</sup> ~~is~~ <sup>as</sup> ~~the~~  
considered the matter urgent,  
but <sup>admits</sup> ~~admits~~ that ~~the~~ matter it

Copied by

Examined by

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE  
SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation Sheet draft form.)

Should have been more fully  
brought to the notice of the  
St. Meepo, & apologise for  
the breach of official etiquette  
which was purely unintentional  
3. The original inclosures referred to in the  
communication under reference are  
herewith returned. I have sh

20/3/05  
27.3.1805  
Heck

Copied by 30/3/05

Examined SN 22/4/05

List of Inclosures

6/4/05  
SN  
17/3/05